

TABLE OF CONTENT

TITLE	PAGE
Executive summary	i
Table of Content	viii
1. Background	1
2. Aim	1
3. Scope	1
No. 1: PERFORMANCE TEST – KOMATSU MACHINE.....	2
4. No 1: performance test	3
4.1. Performance test location	3
4.2. Test participants	6
4.2.1.Cambodian Mine Action Centre (CMAC)	6
4.2.2.Japan International Cooperation System (JICS).....	8
4.2.3.KOMATSU Staff	8
4.2.4.KOMATSU machine	8
4.3. Test procedure	12
4.3.1.Resources: AP mine used in the test.....	13
4.3.2.Depth of AP mine	14
4.3.3.Time keeping.....	14
4.3.4.Test start time and end time of time keeping	14
4.3.5.The pattern & direction of mine clearance	14
4.3.6.Fuel consumption	15
4.3.7.Re-establish test land & landmine position	15
4.3.8.The classification of landmine after clearance	17
4.3.9.Mine evaluation technique	20
4.4. Test preparation	23
4.4.1.The preparation of dry condition test area	23
4.4.2.The preparation of light bush condition	28
4.4.3.The preparation of wet condition	33
4.5. Test schedule	36
4.5.1.Test schedule at dry condition	36
4.5.2.Test schedule at light bush condition	36
4.5.3.Test schedule at wet condition	36
4.6. Test no 1: performance test result	37
4.6.1.Potential pollution by mine clearance machine	37
4.6.2.Test result at dry condition	38
4.6.3.Test result at light blush condition	43
4.6.4.Test result at wet condition	48
PERFORMANCE TEST RESULT ANALYSIS – KOMATSU MACHINE.....	51
4.7. Performance test result analysis	52
4.7.1.Clearance productivity rate	52
4.7.2.Mine clearance quality	53
4.7.3.Fuel consumption rate.....	54
4.7.4.Clearance productivity – fuel ratio.....	55
4.7.5.Fragment position after clearance.....	56
4.7.6.Flying fragment cause by mine clearance machine.....	57
4.7.7.Potential to break one mine into pieces by demining machine	58
No. 2: ACCEPTANCE TEST – KOMATSU MACHINE.....	59
5. No. 2: acceptance test	60
5.1. Acceptance test location	60

5.1.1. Second test site selection	61
5.1.2. General geography	66
5.1.3. Historical contamination background	66
5.1.4. Safe access road in the minefield	67
5.1.5. Test lane.....	67
5.2. Test procedure	68
5.2.1. Safety distant.....	68
5.2.2. Productivity and true productivity	68
5.2.3. True productivity rate	68
5.2.4. Time keeping.....	68
5.2.5. Machine working duration	68
5.2.6. Daily maintenance.....	68
5.2.7. Fix or repair	68
5.2.8. The pattern & direction of mine clearance	68
5.2.9. Fuel consumption	69
5.2.10. Mine/UXO found.....	69
5.3. Test schedule.....	69
5.4. Test no. 3: acceptance test result.....	70
5.4.1. Productivity & productivity rate.....	70
5.4.2. Fuel consumption by demining machine KOMATSU.....	71
5.4.3. The repair of a demining machine KOMATSU.....	72
ACCEPTANCE TEST RESULT ANALYSIS – KOMATSU MACHINE	73
6. Acceptance test result analysis	74
6.1. Clearance duration.....	74
6.2. True clearance productivity rate.....	75
6.3. The comparison of productivity and true productivity	76
6.4. Clearance duration before next refuel time.....	77
6.5. Productivity – fuel ratio.....	78
6.6. The repair of a demining machine KOMATSU	79
6.7. Average productivity for one hour repair.....	80
6.8. Average clearance duration for one hour repair	81
7. Comparison between performance and acceptance test.....	82
7.1. A comparison of true productivity rate, m ² /hour.....	82
7.2. A comparison of fuel consumption rate.....	83
7.3. A comparison of productivity – fuel ratio.....	84
No. 3: SURVIVABILITY TEST – KOMATSU MACHINE.....	85
8. Introduction	86
9. Test methods	86
9.1. Demining machines under test	86
9.2. Measurement system configuration	86
9.3. Test equipment	86
9.4. Explosive used.....	87
10. On – Test site.....	87
10.1. Test site.....	87
10.2. Test preparation.....	87
10.3. Test schedule.....	88
10.4. Measurement work detail.....	88
11. Experimental results	88
11.1. Measurement system installation.....	88
11.2. Test results.....	90
11.2.1. Sound pressure.....	90
11.2.2. Cabin pressure change.....	91
11.2.3. Floor acceleration.....	92
11.2.4. Seat acceleration.....	93
11.3. Verifying test results.....	94

11.3.1. Cabin pressure change measurement.....	94
11.3.2. Corrupted data	95
12. Data Analysis	95
12.1. Analysis method.....	95
12.2. Ear injury	95
12.3. Foot and ankle injury.....	95
12.4. Spine injury	95
12.5. Data analysis on KOMATSU.....	96
12.5.1. Ear injury	96
12.5.2. Foot and ankle injury.....	96
12.5.3. Spine injury.....	97
No. 4: REPAIR & MAINTENANCE.....	99
13. General maintenance work.....	100
13.1. Tools and Equipments	100
13.1.1. General equipment for the project.....	100
13.1.2. General tool for the project from workshop in Battambang	100
13.1.3. Assisted tools from CMAC	100
13.2. Performance and Acceptance tests	101
13.3. Survivability test	101
14. General repair work	101
14.1. Performance and Acceptance tests	101
14.2. Survivability test	101
14.2.1. General assessment	101
14.2.2. Damage to the attachment of the machine	101
No. 5: TRANSPORTATION.....	103
15. Transportation of the machine during test	104
15.1. Transport from Sihanoukville to Dam Dek Testing site in Siem Reap	104
15.2. Transport from the Mine Testing Site in Siem Reap to Battambang Workshop.....	105
15.3. Transport from CMAC Workshop to the mine field	105
15.4. Transport from the mine field to Battambang Workshop	106
15.5. Transport from Battambang CMAC Workshop to Siem Reap Regional Center.....	107
15.6. Transport from the CMAC Regional Center in Siem Reap to Sihanoukville.....	107
16. General Evaluation	108
16.1. Positive value of demining machine KOMATSU.....	108
16.2. Negative value of demining machine KOMATSU	108
17. General conclusion	111
17.1. Clearance productivity.....	111
17.2. Mine clearance quality.....	111
17.3. Fuel consumption	111
17.4. Maintenance/repair.....	111
17.5. Survivability of the machine	112
17.5.1. Ear injury risk.....	112
17.5.2. Foot and ankle injury risk	112
17.5.3. Spine injury risk.....	112
17.6. Transportation	112
18. Recommendation.....	113
Annex 1: Demining machine activities during test in pictures	114
Annex 2: The background of Cambodia.....	119
Annex 3: The history of mine/UXO contamination in Cambodia.....	124
Annex 4: General information about mine/UXO and minefield in Cambodia.....	128
Annex 5: General information about test site at Battambang	134
Annex 6: How to work in mine/UXO contaminated area.....	139
Annex 7: Frequently Asked Questions.....	141
Annex 8: Some useful information on-site rules and instructions	149
Annex 9: Demining machine test and evaluation procedures (valid for performance test only).....	150

Annex 10: Demining machine test and evaluation procedures (valid for survivability test only)	155
Annex 11: Demining machine test and evaluation procedures (valid for acceptance test only).....	162
Annex 12: Instruction for the team members	163
References:	164
Appendix:.....	165

LIST OF FIGURES

TITLE	PAGE
Fig. 1: Performance test location in Cambodia	3
Fig. 2: General view of light bush test area (performance & survivability test area).....	5
Fig. 3: Structure of the project.....	6
Fig. 4: KOMATSU machine	8
Fig. 5: AP PMN-2 mine.....	12
Fig. 6: AP T-72A mine	12
Fig. 7: AP T-72A is dismantled.....	12
Fig. 8: Mine depth is properly measured before the burial.....	13
Fig. 9: One time keeper for one test machine equipped with two chronometers.....	13
Fig. 10: Clearance direction of the machine.....	14
Fig. 11: Fuel is transported close to the test site.....	14
Fig. 12: Re-establish test lane.....	15
Fig. 13: CMAC deminer is using metal detector to re-establish the test lane boundary after the area is cleared by the machine	15
Fig. 14: CMAC deminer is re-establish the test lane boundary.....	15
Fig. 15: Mine in good shape is marked with yellow stick with red note.....	16
Fig. 16: Fragment of mine is marked with yellow stick and yellow note (safe)	16
Fig. 17: CMAC deminer is detecting mine fragment nearby the test lane (in light bush).....	16
Fig. 18: Round Yellow Stick indicates existing mine position	17
Fig. 19: Mine is destroyed by the machine. In this category, mine is classified to be destroyed by the machine.	18
Fig. 20: Mine had been hit by the machine. This mine is subjected for checking.....	18
Fig. 21: Mine is hit and moved from its original location but still in good condition. This mine is in category three and is subjected for evaluation	19
Fig. 22: Mine had been hit by the machine but the machine does not have the sufficient digging depth. This mine is subjected for checking.....	19
Fig. 23: Machine did not touch mine due to insufficient digging depth. Mine still dangerous. Round stick painted in yellow indicate previous mine position.	20
Fig. 24: CMAC deminer with PPE and visor walking to mine evaluation spot.....	20
Fig. 25: Mine is neutralized before analyzing.....	21
Fig. 26: Some evidents of damaged mine [1/2].....	21
Fig. 27: Some evident of damaged mine [2/2]	22
Fig. 28: The change of mine status from live mine to damaged mine	22
Fig. 29: Dry condition test area & test lane arrangement.....	23
Fig. 30: All top vegetation is removed by machine (bulldozer)	24
Fig. 31: Metallic object is removed from the test site	24
Fig. 32: CMAC deminer is marking test lane.....	25
Fig. 33: Landmine is color before laid in the ground	25
Fig. 34: The pattern of laid mine in the test lane.....	26
Fig. 35: Drill hole according to its designated position and depth to laid landmine	26
Fig. 36: The location, depth, type and quantity of landmine	27
Fig. 37: Light Bush test area & test lane arrangement.....	28
Fig. 38: Buffer zone of 8m is being bulldozed	29
Fig. 39: Tree top is being trimmed by CMAC deminer	29
Fig. 40: Large tree (>20cm) is being removed	29
Fig. 41: Termite hill is subjected to be identified and leveled.....	29
Fig. 42: CMAC deminer is setting up metal detector MINELAB F1A4 to be used to remove metallic object from light bush test area.....	30
Fig. 43: Landmine is color before laid in the ground	30
Fig. 44: Landmine with its designated color will be laid according to the above pattern	31
Fig. 45: After identifying the location, the hole is drilled up to the specified depth.	31
Fig. 46: The location, depth, type and quantity of landmine	32
Fig. 47: Wet condition test area & test lane arrangement.....	33
Fig. 48: CMAC deminer is marking test lane at wet condition area	34
Fig. 49: Landmine pattern at wet condition	35

Fig. 50: Potential polluted area after clearance.....	37
Fig. 51: Nearby earth and object is crushed underneath the drum.....	37
Fig. 52: Front part of KOMATSU machine is covered by iron chain to keep the dirt or gragment.....	37
Fig. 53: Clearance productivity rate.....	52
Fig. 54: Clearance quality of AP mine.....	53
Fig. 55: Fuel consumption rate.....	54
Fig. 56: Clearance size – fuel ratio.....	55
Fig. 57: Fragment in test lane.....	56
Fig. 58: Fragment out of test lane.....	56
Fig. 59: Fragment position in and out of test lane.....	56
Fig. 60: distant of flying fragment.....	57
Fig. 61: Potential to break AP mine by the machine.....	58
Fig. 62: Acceptance test location in Cambodia.....	60
Fig. 63: Map of O dounpov test site.....	62
Fig. 64: Activities at O dounpov test site.....	63
Fig. 65: Map of Pich Chongwa test site.....	64
Fig. 66: Activities at Pich Chongwa test site.....	65
Fig. 67: There are many trees in this test site.....	66
Fig. 68: Landmine is easily found at Pich Chongwa test site.....	66
Fig. 69: Preparation for the acceptance test.....	67
Fig. 70: Clearance duration at acceptance test.....	74
Fig. 71: True productivity rate of the machine at acceptance test.....	75
Fig. 72: the comparison of true clearance productivity.....	76
Fig. 73: Fuel consumption rate.....	77
Fig. 74: Productivity – fuel ratio.....	78
Fig. 75: Repair activities of the machine.....	79
Fig. 76: Average repair time of the machine.....	79
Fig. 77: The relationship between productivity and repair.....	80
Fig. 78: Average clearance duration.....	81
Fig. 79: the comparison of productivity rate.....	82
Fig. 80: A comparison of productivity – fuel ratio at performance & acceptance tests (sq.m/l).....	84
Fig. 81: A comparison of productivity – fuel ratio at performance & acceptance tests (%).....	84
Fig. 82: Measurement System Configuration.....	86
Fig. 83: a combination of Anti-tank and C4 to be exploded under the attachment.....	87
Fig. 84: Survivability test spot of the machine.....	87
Fig. 85: Equipment Installation in the Machine [1/4].....	88
Fig. 86: Equipment Installation in the Machine [2/4].....	89
Fig. 87: Equipment Installation in the Machine [3/4].....	89
Fig. 88: Equipment Installation in the Machine [4/4].....	89
Fig. 89: Sound Pressure in machine # 3 (KOMATSU) - Anti-personnel landmine [1/2].....	90
Fig. 90: Sound Pressure in machine # 3 (KOMATSU) - Anti-personnel landmine [2/2].....	90
Fig. 91: Sound Pressure in machine # 3 (KOMATSU) - Anti-tank mine [1/2].....	91
Fig. 92: Sound Pressure in machine # 3 (KOMATSU) - Anti-tank mine [2/2].....	91
Fig. 93: Cabin Pressure in machine # 3 (KOMATSU) - Anti-personnel Mine.....	91
Fig. 94: Cabin Pressure in machine # 3 (KOMATSU) - Anti-tank Mine.....	92
Fig. 95: Floor Acceleration in machine # 3 (KOMATSU) - Anti-personnel Mine [1/2].....	92
Fig. 96: Floor Acceleration in machine # 3 (KOMATSU) - Anti-personnel Mine [2/2].....	92
Fig. 97: Floor Acceleration in machine # 3 (KOMATSU) - Anti-tank Mine [1/2].....	93
Fig. 98: Floor Acceleration in machine # 3 (KOMATSU) - Anti-tank Mine [2/2].....	93
Fig. 99: Seat Acceleration in machine # 3 (KOMATSU) - Anti-Personnel Mine [1/2].....	93
Fig. 100: Seat Acceleration in machine # 3 (KOMATSU) - Anti-Personnel Mine [2/2].....	94
Fig. 101: Seat Acceleration in machine # 3 (KOMATSU) - Anti-tank Mine [1/2].....	94
Fig. 102: Seat Acceleration in machine # 3 (KOMATSU) - Anti-tank Mine [2/2].....	94
Fig. 103: B-duration of Sound Pressure in KOMATSU - Anti-tank Mine.....	96
Fig. 104: Floor Velocity-Time Chart for KOMATSU - Anti-personnel Mine.....	96
Fig. 105: Floor Velocity-Time Chart for KOMATSU - Anti-tank Mine.....	97
Fig. 106: Seat Velocity-Time Chart for Machine #3 (KOMATSU) - Anti-personnel Mine.....	97
Fig. 107: Simulated Human Body Displacement in Machine #3 (KOMATSU) - Anti-personnel Mine.....	98

Fig. 108: Seat Velocity-Time Chart for Machine #3 (KOMATSU) - Anti-tank Mine.....	98
Fig. 109: Machine #3 (KOMATSU) Displacement of Human Body on the Operator Seat - Anti-Tank Mine	98
Fig. 110: General view of the Komatsu machine after explosion.....	102
Fig. 111: Hydraulic holders are dislocated	102
Fig. 112: Broken pit and a hollow drum.....	102
Fig. 113: Komatsu counter weight is built from separated pieces of metal.....	108
Fig. 114: Komatsu run over a tree underneath the tree is an un-cleared area	108
Fig. 115: Poor visibility from Komatsu operator room to the front of the machine	109
Fig. 116: Operator's view inside-out from the cabin.....	109
Fig. 117: Spotter direct/command the machine behind this shield	109
Fig. 118: Fuel is leak from its tank.....	109
Fig. 119: Komatsu flash light mounted on top of the roof of the machine.....	109
Fig. 120: Front host has no protection from tree branch	110

LIST OF TABLES

TITLE	PAGE
Table 1: Project Management team	6
Table 2: Member for demining machine number 1	6
Table 3: Member for demining machine number 2	7
Table 4: Member for demining machine number 3	7
Table 5: Member for demining machine number 4	7
Table 6: Demining machine KOMATSU	8
Table 7: The classification of landmine damaged by the machine	17
Table 8: Deployment pattern of landmine PMN-2 and T-72A at dry condition lane 1, 2 and 3	27
Table 9: Deployment pattern of landmine PMN-2 and T-72A at dry condition lane 4.....	27
Table 10: summary of landmine at dry condition test lane 1, 2, 3 and lane 4.....	27
Table 11: Deployment pattern of landmine PMN-2 and T-72A at light bush condition lane 1, 2 and 3 ...	32
Table 12: Deployment pattern of landmine PMN-2 and T-72A at light bush condition lane 4	32
Table 13: summary of landmine at light bush condition test lane 1, 2, 3 and lane 4	32
Table 14: Deployment pattern of landmine PMN-2 and T-72A at Wet condition lane 1, 2	35
Table 15: summary of landmine at wet condition test lane 1 and test lane 2	35
Table 16: Test schedule of swing demining machine in dry condition	36
Table 17: Test schedule of swing demining machine in Light Bush condition	36
Table 18: Test schedule of swing demining machine in wet condition	36
Table 19: Summary Test result at Dry condition	38
Table 20: Distant of flying fragment from original location in Dry condition	38
Table 21: Potential mine to be broken up by KOMATSU MACHINE during performance test.....	38
Table 22: Fragment position in dry condition area after the performance test by KOMATSU MACHINE	38
Table 23: mine fragment scattered at dry condition, test lane 1	39
Table 24: mine fragment scattered at dry condition, test lane 2	40
Table 25: mine fragment scattered at dry condition, test lane 3	41
Table 26: mine fragment scattered at dry condition, test lane 4	42
Table 27: Summary Test result at light bush condition	43
Table 28: Distant of flying fragment from original location in Light Bush condition.....	43
Table 29: Potential mine to be broken up by KOMATSU MACHINE during performance test.....	43
Table 30: Fragment position in Light Bush condition area after the performance test by KOMATSU MACHINE	43
Table 31: mine fragment scattered at light bush condition, test lane 1	44
Table 32: mine fragment scattered at light bush condition, test lane 2.....	45
Table 33: mine fragment scattered at light bush condition, test lane 3.....	46
Table 34: mine fragment scattered at light bush condition, test lane 4.....	47
Table 35: Summary Test result at Wet condition	48
Table 36: Distant of flying fragment from original location in Wet condition	48
Table 37: Potential mine to be broken up by KOMATSU MACHINE during performance test.....	48
Table 38: Fragment position in Wet condition area after the performance test by KOMATSU MACHINE	48
Table 39: mine fragment scattered at wet condition, test lane 1.....	49
Table 40: mine fragment scattered at wet condition, test lane 2.....	50
Table 41: Summary of performance test data	52
Table 42: Selected sites for acceptance test	61
Table 43: Test schedule of acceptance test.....	69
Table 44: Productivity and productivity rate of the machine	70
Table 45: Fuel consumption by the machine	71
Table 46: The repair of a demining machine.....	72
Table 47: the comparison of clearance and true clearance productivity.....	76
Table 48: fuel consumption used by demining swing machine at acceptance test	77
Table 49: Productivity of the machine and fuel consumption	78
Table 50: Repair activities	79
Table 51: True productivity rate at performance & acceptance tests.....	82
Table 52: A comparison of fuel consumption rate at performance & acceptance tests.....	84

Table 53: Test Schedule	88
Table 54: Measurement Work Details	88
Table 55: General equipment for the project.....	100
Table 56: General tool from CMAC workshop in Battambang	100
Table 57: Assisted tool from CMAC	100
Table 58: Safety Evaluation on Machine # 3 (KOMATSU)	112