

TABLE OF CONTENT

TITLE	PAGE
Executive summary	i
Table of Content	viii
1. Background	1
2. Aim	1
3. Scope	1
No. 1: PERFORMANCE TEST – PUSH TYPE MACHINE	2
4. No 1: performance test	3
4.1. Performance test location	3
4.2. Test participants	6
4.2.1. Cambodian Mine Action Centre (CMAC)	6
4.2.2. Japan International Cooperation System (JICS)	8
4.2.3. Hitachi Staff	8
4.2.4. Hitachi Push type machine	8
4.3. Test procedure	14
4.3.1. Resources: AP mine used in the test	14
4.3.2. Depth of AP mine	15
4.3.3. Time keeping	15
4.3.4. Test start time and end time of time keeping	15
4.3.5. The pattern & direction of mine clearance	15
4.3.6. Fuel consumption	16
4.3.7. Re-establish test land & landmine position	16
4.3.8. The classification of landmine after clearance	16
4.3.9. Mine evaluation technique	21
4.4. Test preparation	25
4.4.1. The preparation of dry condition test area	25
4.4.2. The preparation of light bush condition	30
4.4.3. The preparation of wet condition	35
4.5. Test schedule	38
4.5.1. Test schedule at dry condition	38
4.5.2. Test schedule at light bush condition	38
4.5.3. Test schedule at wet condition	38
4.6. Test no 1: performance test result	39
4.6.1. Potential pollution by mine clearance machine	39
4.6.2. Test result at dry condition	40
4.6.3. Test result at light blush condition	45
4.6.4. Test result at wet condition	50
PERFORMANCE TEST RESULT ANALYSIS – PUSH TYPE MACHINE	53
4.7. Performance test result analysis	54
4.7.1. Clearance productivity rate	54
4.7.2. Mine clearance quality	55
4.7.3. Fuel consumption rate	56
4.7.4. Clearance productivity – fuel ratio	57
4.7.5. Fragment position after clearance	58
4.7.6. Flying fragment cause by mine clearance machine	59
4.7.7. Potential to break one mine into pieces by demining machine	50
No. 2: ACCEPTANCE TEST – PUSH TYPE MACHINE	61
5. No. 2: acceptance test	62
5.1. Acceptance test location	62

5.1.1. Second test site selection	63
5.1.2. General geography	68
5.1.3. Historical contamination background	68
5.1.4. Safe access road in the minefield	69
5.1.5. Test lane.....	69
5.2. Test procedure	70
5.2.1. Safety distant.....	70
5.2.2. Productivity and true productivity	70
5.2.3. True productivity rate	70
5.2.4. Time keeping.....	70
5.2.5. Machine working duration	70
5.2.6. Daily maintenance.....	70
5.2.7. Fix or repair	70
5.2.8. The pattern & direction of mine clearance	70
5.2.9. Fuel consumption	71
5.2.10. Mine/UXO found.....	71
5.3. Test schedule.....	71
5.4. No. 3: acceptance test result	72
5.4.1. Productivity & productivity rate.....	72
5.4.2. Fuel consumption by demining machine push type.....	73
5.4.3. The repair of a demining machine push type.....	74
ACCEPTANCE TEST RESULT ANALYSIS – PUSH TYPE MACHINE	75
6. Acceptance test result analysis	76
6.1. Clearance duration.....	76
6.2. True clearance productivity rate.....	77
6.3. The comparison of productivity and true productivity	78
6.4. Clearance duration before next refuel time.....	79
6.5. Productivity – fuel ratio.....	80
6.6. The repair of a demining machine push type.....	81
6.7. Average productivity for one hour repair.....	82
6.8. Average clearance duration for one hour repair	83
7. Comparison between performance and acceptance test	84
7.1. A comparison of true productivity rate, m ² /hour.....	84
7.2. A comparison of fuel consumption rate.....	85
7.3. A comparison of productivity – fuel ratio.....	86
No. 3: SURVIVABILITY TEST – PUSH TYPE MACHINE	87
8. Introduction	88
9. Test methods	88
9.1. Demining machines under test	88
9.2. Measurement system configuration	88
9.3. Test equipment	88
9.4. Explosive used.....	89
10. On – Test site.....	89
10.1. Test site.....	89
10.2. Test preparation.....	89
10.3. Test schedule.....	90
10.4. Measurement work detail.....	90
11. Experimental results	90
11.1. Measurement system installation.....	90
11.2. Test results.....	92
11.2.1. Sound pressure.....	92
11.2.2. Cabin pressure change.....	93
11.2.3. Floor acceleration.....	94
11.2.4. Seat acceleration.....	95
11.3. Verifying test results.....	96

11.3.1. Cabin pressure change measurement.....	96
11.3.2. Corrupted data	96
12. Data Analysis	97
12.1. Analysis method.....	97
12.2. Ear injury	97
12.3. Foot and ankle injury.....	97
12.4. Spine injury	97
12.5. Data analysis on Yamanashi Hitachi push type.....	98
12.5.1. Ear injury	98
12.5.2. Foot and ankle injury.....	98
12.5.3. Spine injury.....	99
No. 4: REPAIR & MAINTENANCE.....	100
13. General maintenance work.....	101
13.1. Tools and Equipments	101
13.1.1. General equipment for the project.....	101
13.1.2. General tool for the project from workshop in Battambang	101
13.1.3. Assisted tools from CMAC	101
13.2. Performance and Acceptance tests	101
13.3. Survivability test	101
14. General repair work	102
14.1. Performance and Acceptance tests	102
14.2. Survivability test	102
14.2.1. General assessment	102
14.2.2. Damage to the attachment of the machine	102
14.2.3. Details of the repair	102
No. 5: TRANSPORTATION.....	105
15. Transportation of the machine during test	105
15.1. Transport from Sihanoukville to Dam Dek Testing site in Siem Reap	105
15.2. Transport from the Mine Testing Site in Siem Reap to Battambang Workshop.....	106
15.3. Transport from CMAC Workshop to the mine field	107
15.4. Transport from the mine field to Battambang Workshop	108
15.5. Transport from Battambang CMAC Workshop to Siem Reap Regional Center.....	109
15.6. Transport from the CMAC Regional Center in Siem Reap to Sihanoukville.....	110
16. General Evaluation	111
16.1. Positive value of demining machine push type	111
16.2. Negative value of demining machine push type	111
17. General conclusions	113
17.1. Clearance productivity.....	113
17.2. Mine clearance quality.....	113
17.3. Fuel consumption	113
17.4. Maintenance/repair.....	113
17.5. Survivability of the machine	114
17.5.1. Ear injury risk.....	114
17.5.2. Foot and ankle injury risk	114
17.5.3. Spine injury risk.....	114
17.6. Transportation	114
18. Recommendation.....	115
Annex 1: Demining machine activities during test in pictures	116
Annex 2: The background of Cambodia.....	121
Annex 3: The history of mine/UXO contamination in Cambodia.....	126
Annex 4: General information about mine/UXO and minefield in Cambodia.....	130
Annex 5: General information about test site at Battambang	136
Annex 6: How to work in mine/UXO contaminated area.....	141
Annex 7: Frequently Asked Questions	143
Annex 8: Some useful information on-site rules and instructions	151

Annex 9: Demining machine test and evaluation procedures (valid for performance test only)	152
Annex 10: Demining machine test and evaluation procedures (valid for survivability test only)	157
Annex 11: Demining machine test and evaluation procedures (valid for acceptance test only).....	164
Annex 12: Instruction for the team members	165
References:	166
Appendix:.....	167

LIST OF FIGURES

TITLE	PAGE
Fig. 1: Performance test location in Cambodia	3
Fig. 2: General view of light bush test area (performance & survivability test area).....	5
Fig. 3: Structure of the project.....	6
Fig. 4: Yamanashi push type machine	8
Fig. 5: AP PMN-2 mine.....	14
Fig. 6: AP T-72A mine	14
Fig. 7: AP T-72A is dismantled.....	14
Fig. 8: Mine depth is properly measured before the burial.....	15
Fig. 9: One time keeper for one test machine equipped with two chronometers.....	15
Fig. 10: Clearance direction of the machine.....	16
Fig. 11: Fuel is transported close to the test site.....	16
Fig. 12: Re-establish test lane.....	17
Fig. 13: CMAC deminer is using metal detector to re-establish the test lane boundary after the area is cleared by the machine	17
Fig. 14: CMAC deminer is re-establish the test lane boundary.....	17
Fig. 15: Mine in good shape is marked with yellow stick with red note.....	18
Fig. 16: Fragment of mine is marked with yellow stick and yellow note (safe)	18
Fig. 17: CMAC deminer is detecting mine fragment nearby the test lane (in light bush).....	18
Fig. 18: Round Yellow Stick indicates existing mine position	19
Fig. 19: Mine is destroyed by the machine. In this category, mine is classified to be destroyed by the machine.	20
Fig. 20: Mine had been hit by the machine. This mine is subjected for checking.....	20
Fig. 21: Mine is hit and moved from its original location but still in good condition. This mine is in category three and is subjected for evaluation	21
Fig. 22: Mine had been hit by the machine but the machine does not have the sufficient digging depth. This mine is subjected for checking.....	21
Fig. 23: Machine did not touch mine due to insufficient digging depth. Mine still dangerous. Round stick painted in yellow indicate previous mine position.	22
Fig. 24: CMAC deminer with PPE and visor walking to mine evaluation spot.....	22
Fig. 25: Mine is neutralized before analyzing.....	23
Fig. 26: Some evidents of damaged mine [1/2].....	23
Fig. 27: Some evident of damaged mine [2/2]	24
Fig. 28: The change of mine status from live mine to damaged mine	24
Fig. 29: Dry condition test area & test lane arrangement.....	25
Fig. 30: All top vegetation is removed by machine (bulldozer)	26
Fig. 31: Metallic object is removed from the test site	26
Fig. 32: CMAC deminer is marking test lane.....	27
Fig. 33: Landmine is color before laid in the ground	27
Fig. 34: The pattern of laid mine in the test lane.....	28
Fig. 35: Drill hole according to its designated position and depth to laid landmine	28
Fig. 36: The location, depth, type and quantity of landmine	29
Fig. 37: Light Bush test area & test lane arrangement.....	30
Fig. 38: Buffer zone of 8m is being bulldozed	31
Fig. 39: Tree top is being trimmed by CMAC deminer	31
Fig. 40: Large tree (>20cm) is being removed	31
Fig. 41: Termite hill is subjected to be identified and leveled.....	31
Fig. 42: CMAC deminer is setting up metal detector MINELAB F1A4 to be used to remove metallic object from light bush test area.....	32
Fig. 43: Landmine is color before laid in the ground.....	32
Fig. 44: Landmine with its designated color will be laid according to the above pattern	33
Fig. 45: After identifying the location, the hole is drilled up to the specified depth.	33
Fig. 46: The location, depth, type and quantity of landmine	34
Fig. 47: Wet condition test area & test lane arrangement.....	35
Fig. 48: CMAC deminer is marking test lane at wet condition area	36
Fig. 49: Landmine pattern at wet condition	37

Fig. 50: Potential polluted area after clearance.....	39
Fig. 51: Earth and object is moving forward by the power of the flail system	39
Fig. 52: Front part of the push type machine is covered by earth after operation	39
Fig. 53: Clearance productivity rate.....	54
Fig. 54: Clearance quality of AP mine	55
Fig. 55: Fuel consumption rate.....	56
Fig. 56: Clearance size – fuel ratio.....	57
Fig. 57: Fragment in test lane.....	58
Fig. 58: Fragment out of test lane	58
Fig. 59: Fragment position in and out of test lane	58
Fig. 60: distant of flying fragment	59
Fig. 61: Potential to break AP mine by the machine	60
Fig. 62: Acceptance test location in Cambodia	62
Fig. 63: Map of O dounpov test site	64
Fig. 64: Activities at O dounpov test site	65
Fig. 65: Map of Pich Chongwa test site.....	66
Fig. 66: Activities at Pich Chongwa test site	67
Fig. 67: There are many trees in this test site	68
Fig. 68: Landmine is easily found at Pich Chongwa test site.....	68
Fig. 69: Preparation for the acceptance test	69
Fig. 70: Clearance duration at acceptance test.....	76
Fig. 71: True productivity rate of the machine at acceptance test	77
Fig. 72: the comparison of true clearance productivity.....	78
Fig. 73: Fuel consumption rate.....	79
Fig. 74: Productivity – fuel ratio	80
Fig. 75: Repair activities of the machine	81
Fig. 76: Average repair time of the machine	81
Fig. 77: The relationship between productivity and repair	82
Fig. 78: Average clearance duration	83
Fig. 79: the comparison of productivity rate	84
Fig. 80: A comparison of productivity – fuel ratio at performance & acceptance tests (sq.m/l).....	86
Fig. 81: Fig. 80: A comparison of productivity – fuel ratio at performance & acceptance tests (%)).....	86
Fig. 82: Measurement System Configuration.....	88
Fig. 83: a combination of Anti-tank and C4 to be exploded under the attachment.....	89
Fig. 84: Survivability test spot of the machine.....	89
Fig. 85: Equipment Installation in the Machine [1/4]	91
Fig. 86: Equipment Installation in the Machine [2/4]	91
Fig. 87: Equipment Installation in the Machine [3/4]	91
Fig. 88: Equipment Installation in the Machine [4/4].....	91
Fig. 89: Sound Pressure in the Yamanashi push type machine - Anti-personnel landmine [1/2].....	92
Fig. 90: Sound Pressure in the Yamanashi push type machine - Anti-personnel landmine [2/2].....	92
Fig. 91: Sound Pressure in the Yamanashi push type machine - Anti-tank mine [1/2].....	92
Fig. 92: Sound Pressure in the Yamanashi push type machine - Anti-tank mine [2/2].....	93
Fig. 93: Cabin Pressure in the Yamanashi push type machine - Anti-personnel Mine.....	93
Fig. 94: Cabin Pressure in the Yamanashi push type machine - Anti-tank Mine.....	93
Fig. 95: Floor Acceleration in Yamanashi Hitachi push type- Anti-personnel Mine [1/2]	94
Fig. 96: Floor Acceleration in Yamanashi Hitachi push type- Anti-personnel Mine [2/2]	94
Fig. 97: Floor Acceleration in Yamanashi Hitachi push type- Anti-tank Mine [1/2]	94
Fig. 98: Floor Acceleration in Yamanashi Hitachi push type- Anti-tank Mine [2/2]	95
Fig. 99: Seat Acceleration in Yamanashi Hitachi push type- Anti-Personnel Mine [1/2].....	95
Fig. 100: Seat Acceleration in Yamanashi Hitachi push type- Anti-Personnel Mine [2/2].....	95
Fig. 101: Seat Acceleration in Yamanashi Hitachi push type- Anti-tank Mine [1/2].....	96
Fig. 102: Seat Acceleration in Yamanashi Hitachi push type- Anti-tank Mine [2/2].....	96
Fig. 103: B-duration of Sound Pressure in Yamanashi Hitachi push type - Anti- tank Mine.....	98

Fig. 104: Floor Velocity-Time Chart for Yamanashi Hitachi Push type - Anti-tank Mine.....	99
Fig. 105: Seat Velocity-Time Chart for Machine #2 (YAMANASHI P) - Anti-tank Mine.....	99
Fig. 106: Simulated Human Body Displacement in Machine #2 (YAMANASHI P) - Anti-Tank Mine	99
Fig. 107: Chains are broken from Anti-tank blast.....	102
Fig. 108: Holders are bending from Anti-tank blast.....	103
Fig. 109: Anti-blast upper plate are blown away by Anti-tank blast	103
Fig. 110: Tree & vegetation is pushed in advance before had been struck by push's hammer	111
Fig. 111: Push type's counter weight system is scratching the ground during its download from truck trailer at CMAC workshop, Battambang province.....	112
Fig. 112: A deployment of demining machine push type from left to right.....	112

LIST OF TABLES

TITLE	PAGE
Table 1: Project Management team	6
Table 2: Member for demining machine number 1	6
Table 3: Member for demining machine number 2	7
Table 4: Member for demining machine number 3	7
Table 5: Member for demining machine number 4	7
Table 6: Demining machine PUSH type (Model FV25).....	8
Table 7: The classification of landmine damaged by the machine	19
Table 8: Deployment pattern of landmine PMN-2 and T-72A at dry condition lane 1, 2 and 3.....	29
Table 9: Deployment pattern of landmine PMN-2 and T-72A at dry condition lane 4.....	29
Table 10: summary of landmine at dry condition test lane 1, 2, 3 and lane 4.....	29
Table 11: Deployment pattern of landmine PMN-2 and T-72A at light bush condition lane 1, 2 and 3.....	34
Table 12: Deployment pattern of landmine PMN-2 and T-72A at light bush condition lane 4	34
Table 13: summary of landmine at light bush condition test lane 1, 2, 3 and lane 4	34
Table 14: Deployment pattern of landmine PMN-2 and T-72A at Wet condition lane 1, 2	37
Table 15: summary of landmine at wet condition test lane 1 and test lane 2	37
Table 16: Test schedule of PUSH demining machine in dry condition	38
Table 17: Test schedule of PUSH demining machine in Light Bush condition	38
Table 18: Test schedule of PUSH demining machine in wet condition.....	38
Table 19: Summary Test result at Dry condition	40
Table 20: Distant of flying fragment from original location in Dry condition	40
Table 21: Potential mine to be broken up by the PUSH TYPE MACHINE during performance test	40
Table 22: Fragment position in dry condition area after the performance test by PUSH TYPE MACHINE	40
Table 23: mine fragment scattered at dry condition, test lane 1	41
Table 24: mine fragment scattered at dry condition, test lane 2	42
Table 25: mine fragment scattered at dry condition, test lane 3	43
Table 26: mine fragment scattered at dry condition, test lane 4	44
Table 27: Summary Test result at light bush condition	45
Table 28: Distant of flying fragment from original location in Light Bush condition.....	45
Table 29: Potential mine to be broken up by the PUSH TYPE MACHINE during performance test	45
Table 30: Fragment position in Light Bush condition area after the performance test by PUSH TYPE MACHINE	45
Table 31: mine fragment scattered at light bush condition, test lane 1	46
Table 32: mine fragment scattered at light bush condition, test lane 2.....	47
Table 33: mine fragment scattered at light bush condition, test lane 3.....	48
Table 34: mine fragment scattered at light bush condition, test lane 4.....	49
Table 35: Summary Test result at Wet condition	50
Table 36: Distant of flying fragment from original location in Wet condition	50
Table 37: Potential mine to be broken up by the PUSH TYPE MACHINE during performance test	50
Table 38: Fragment position in Wet condition area after the performance test by PUSH TYPE MACHINE	50
Table 39: mine fragment scattered at wet condition, test lane 1.....	51
Table 40: mine fragment scattered at wet condition, test lane 2.....	52
Table 41: Summary of performance test data.....	54
Table 42: Selected sites for acceptance test	63
Table 43: Test schedule of acceptance test.....	71
Table 44: Productivity and productivity rate of the machine	72
Table 45: Fuel consumption by the machine	73
Table 46: The repair of a demining machine.....	74
Table 47: the comparison of clearance and true clearance productivity.....	78

Table 48: fuel consumption used by demining PUSH machine at acceptance test.....	79
Table 49: Productivity of the machine and fuel consumption	80
Table 50: Repair activities	81
Table 51: True productivity rate at performance & acceptance tests.....	84
Table 52: A comparison of fuel consumption rate at performance & acceptance tests.....	86
Table 53: A comparison of productivity-fuel ratio at performance & acceptance tests	86
Table 54: Test Schedule	90
Table 55: Measurement Work Details	90
Table 56: General equipment for the project.....	101
Table 57: General tool from CMAC workshop in Battambang	101
Table 58: Damage from anti-tank explosion	102
Table 59: Repair duration.....	102
Table 60: Equipment used for repair	102
Table 61: Safety Evaluation on Machine # 2 (YAMANASHI HITACHI PUSH TYPE).....	114