

TABLE OF CONTENT

TITLE	PAGE
Executive summary	i
Table of Content	viii
1. Background	1
2. Aim	1
3. Scope	1
TEST 1: PERFORMANCE TEST – SWING TYPE MACHINE	2
4. No 1: performance test	3
4.1. Performance test location	3
4.2. Test participants	6
4.2.1. Cambodian Mine Action Centre (CMAC)	6
4.2.2. Japan International Cooperation System (JICS)	8
4.2.3. Hitachi Staff	8
4.2.4. Hitachi Swing type machine	8
4.3. Test procedure	11
4.3.1. Resources: AP mine used in the test	11
4.3.2. Depth of AP mine	12
4.3.3. Time keeping	12
4.3.4. Test start time and end time of time keeping	12
4.3.5. The pattern & direction of mine clearance	12
4.3.6. Fuel consumption	13
4.3.7. Re-establish test land & landmine position	13
4.3.8. The classification of landmine after clearance	16
4.3.9. Mine evaluation technique	19
4.4. Test preparation	22
4.4.1. The preparation of dry condition test area	22
4.4.2. The preparation of light bush condition	27
4.4.3. The preparation of wet condition	32
4.5. Test schedule	35
4.5.1. Test schedule at dry condition	35
4.5.2. Test schedule at light bush condition	35
4.5.3. Test schedule at wet condition	35
4.6. Test no 1: performance test result	36
4.6.1. Potential pollution by mine clearance machine	36
4.6.2. Test result at dry condition	37
4.6.3. Test result at light blush condition	42
4.6.4. Test result at wet condition	47
PERFORMANCE TEST RESULT ANALYSIS – SWING TYPE MACHINE	50
4.7. Performance test result analysis	51
4.7.1. Clearance productivity rate	51
4.7.2. Mine clearance quality	52
4.7.3. Fuel consumption rate	53
4.7.4. Clearance productivity – fuel ratio	54
4.7.5. Fragment position after clearance	55
4.7.6. Flying fragment cause by mine clearance machine	56
4.7.7. Potential to break one mine into pieces by demining machine	57
TEST 2: ACCEPTANCE TEST – SWING TYPE MACHINE	58
5. No. 2: acceptance test	59
5.1. Acceptance test location	59

5.1.1. Second test site selection	60
5.1.2. General geography	65
5.1.3. Historical contamination background	65
5.1.4. Safe access road in the minefield	66
5.1.5. Test lane.....	66
5.2. Test procedure	67
5.2.1. Safety distant.....	67
5.2.2. Productivity and true productivity	67
5.2.3. True productivity rate	67
5.2.4. Time keeping.....	67
5.2.5. Machine working duration	67
5.2.6. Daily maintenance.....	67
5.2.7. Fix or repair	67
5.2.8. The pattern & direction of mine clearance	67
5.2.9. Fuel consumption	68
5.2.10. Mine/UXO found.....	68
5.3. Test schedule.....	68
5.4. Test no. 3: acceptance test result.....	69
5.4.1. Productivity & productivity rate.....	69
5.4.2. Fuel consumption by demining machine swing type.....	70
5.4.3. The repair of a demining machine swing type	71
ACCEPTANCE TEST RESULT ANALYSIS – SWING TYPE MACHINE.....	72
6. Acceptance test result analysis	73
6.1. Clearance duration.....	73
6.2. True clearance productivity rate.....	74
6.3. The comparison of productivity and true productivity	75
6.4. Clearance duration before next refuel time.....	76
6.5. Productivity – fuel ratio.....	77
6.6. The repair of a demining machine swing type	78
6.7. Average productivity for one hour repair.....	79
6.8. Average clearance duration for one hour repair	80
7. Comparison between performance and acceptance test.....	81
7.1. A comparison of true productivity rate, m ² /hour.....	81
7.2. A comparison of fuel consumption rate.....	82
7.3. A comparison of productivity – fuel ratio.....	83
TEST 3: SURVIVABILITY TEST – SWING TYPE MACHINE.....	84
8. Introduction	85
9. Test methods	85
9.1. Demining machines under test	85
9.2. Measurement system configuration	85
9.3. Test equipment	85
9.4. Explosive used.....	86
10. On – Test site.....	86
10.1. Test site.....	86
10.2. Test preparation.....	86
10.3. Test schedule.....	87
10.4. Measurement work detail.....	87
11. Experimental results	87
11.1. Measurement system installation.....	87
11.2. Test results.....	89
11.2.1. Sound pressure.....	89
11.2.2. Cabin pressure change.....	90
11.2.3. Floor acceleration.....	91
11.2.4. Seat acceleration.....	92
11.2.5. Blast pressure	93

11.3. Verifying test results.....	94
11.3.1. Cabin pressure change measurement.....	94
11.3.2. Corrupted data	95
12. Data Analysis	95
12.1. Analysis method.....	95
12.2. Ear injury	95
12.3. Foot and ankle injury.....	95
12.4. Spine injury	95
12.5. Data analysis on Yamanashi Hitachi swing type	96
12.5.1. Ear injury	96
12.5.2. Foot and ankle injury.....	96
12.5.3. Spine injury.....	97
No. 4: REPAIR & MAINTENANCE.....	99
13. General maintenance work.....	100
13.1. Tools and Equipments	100
13.1.1. General equipment for the project.....	100
13.1.2. General tool for the project from workshop in Battambang	100
13.1.3. Assisted tools from CMAC	100
13.2. Performance and Acceptance tests	100
13.3. Survivability test	100
14. General repair work	101
14.1. Performance and Acceptance tests	101
14.2. Survivability test	101
14.2.1. General assessment	101
14.2.2. Damage to the attachment of the machine	101
14.2.3. Details of the repair	101
No. 5: TRANSPORTATION.....	103
15. Transportation of the machine during test	104
15.1. Transport from Sihanoukville to Dam Dek Testing site in Siem Reap	104
15.2. Transport from the Mine Testing Site in Siem Reap to Battambang Workshop	105
15.3. Transport from CMAC Workshop to the mine field	106
15.4. Transport from the mine field to Battambang Workshop	107
15.5. Transport from Battambang CMAC Workshop to Siem Reap Regional Center	108
15.6. Transport from the CMAC Regional Center in Siem Reap to Sihanoukville.....	109
16. General Evaluation	110
16.1. Positive value of demining machine swing type.....	110
16.2. Negative value of demining machine swing type	111
17. General conclusion	112
17.1. Clearance productivity.....	112
17.2. Mine clearance quality.....	112
17.3. Fuel consumption	112
17.4. Maintenance/repair.....	112
17.5. Survivability of the machine	113
17.5.1. Ear injury risk.....	113
17.5.2. Foot and ankle injury risk	113
17.5.3. Spine injury risk.....	113
17.6. Transportation	114
18. Recommendation.....	114
Annex 1: Demining machine activities during test in pictures	117
Annex 2: The background of Cambodia.....	121
Annex 3: The history of mine/UXO contamination in Cambodia.....	127
Annex 4: General information about mine/UXO and minefield in Cambodia.....	131
Annex 5: General information about test site at Battambang	137
Annex 6: How to work in mine/UXO contaminated area	142
Annex 7: Frequently Asked Questions	144

Annex 8: Some useful information on-site rules and instructions	152
Annex 9: Demining machine test and evaluation procedures (valid for performance test only)	153
Annex 10: Demining machine test and evaluation procedures (valid for survivability test only)	158
Annex 11: Demining machine test and evaluation procedures (valid for acceptance test only).....	165
Annex 12: Instruction for the team members	166
References:	167
Appendix:.....	168

LIST OF FIGURES

TITLE	PAGE
Fig. 1: Performance test location in Cambodia	3
Fig. 2: General view of light bush test area (performance & survivability test area).....	5
Fig. 3: Structure of the project.....	6
Fig. 4: Yamanashi swing type machine.....	8
Fig. 5: AP PMN-2 mine.....	11
Fig. 6: AP T-72A mine	11
Fig. 7: AP T-72A is dismantled.....	11
Fig. 8: Mine depth is properly measured before the burial.....	12
Fig. 9: One time keeper for one test machine equipped with two chronometers.....	12
Fig. 10: Clearance direction of the machine.....	13
Fig. 11: Fuel is transported close to the test site.....	13
Fig. 12: Re-establish test lane.....	14
Fig. 13: CMAC deminer is using metal detector to re-establish the test lane boundary after the area is cleared by the machine	14
Fig. 14: CMAC deminer is re-establish the test lane boundary.....	14
Fig. 15: Mine in good shape is marked with yellow stick with red note.....	15
Fig. 16: Fragment of mine is marked with yellow stick and yellow note (safe)	15
Fig. 17: CMAC deminer is detecting mine fragment nearby the test lane (in light bush).....	15
Fig. 18: Round Yellow Stick indicates existing mine position	16
Fig. 19: Mine is destroyed by the machine. In this category, mine is classified to be destroyed by the machine.	17
Fig. 20: Mine had been hit by the machine. This mine is subjected for checking.....	17
Fig. 21: Mine is hit and moved from its original location but still in good condition. This mine is in category three and is subjected for evaluation	18
Fig. 22: Mine had been hit by the machine but the machine does not have the sufficient digging depth. This mine is subjected for checking.....	18
Fig. 23: Machine did not touch mine due to insufficient digging depth. Mine still dangerous. Round stick painted in yellow indicate previous mine position.	19
Fig. 24: CMAC deminer with PPE and visor walking to mine evaluation spot.....	19
Fig. 25: Mine is neutralized before analyzing.....	20
Fig. 26: Some evidents of damaged mine [1/2].....	20
Fig. 27: Some evident of damaged mine [2/2]	21
Fig. 28: The change of mine status from live mine to damaged mine	21
Fig. 29: Dry condition test area & test lane arrangement.....	22
Fig. 30: All top vegetation is removed by machine (bulldozer)	23
Fig. 31: Metallic object is removed from the test site	23
Fig. 32: CMAC deminer is marking test lane.....	24
Fig. 33: Landmine is color before laid in the ground	24
Fig. 34: The pattern of laid mine in the test lane.....	25
Fig. 35: Drill hole according to its designated position and depth to laid landmine	25
Fig. 36: The location, depth, type and quantity of landmine	26
Fig. 37: Light Bush test area & test lane arrangement.....	27
Fig. 38: Buffer zone of 8m is being bulldozed	28
Fig. 39: Tree top is being trimmed by CMAC deminer	28
Fig. 40: Large tree (>20cm) is being removed	28
Fig. 41: Termite hill is subjected to be identified and leveled.....	28
Fig. 42: CMAC deminer is setting up metal detector MINELAB F1A4 to be used to remove metallic object from light bush test area.....	29
Fig. 43: Landmine is color before laid in the ground.....	29
Fig. 44: Landmine with its designated color will be laid according to the above pattern	30
Fig. 45: After identifying the location, the hole is drilled up to the specified depth.	30
Fig. 46: The location, depth, type and quantity of landmine	31
Fig. 47: Wet condition test area & test lane arrangement.....	32
Fig. 48: CMAC deminer is marking test lane at wet condition area	33
Fig. 49: Landmine pattern at wet condition	34

Fig. 50: Potential polluted area after clearance.....	36
Fig. 51: Nearby trees are hit by earth and fragment from swing operation.....	36
Fig. 52: CMAC deminer are looking for fragment/mine in nearby area.....	36
Fig. 53: Clearance productivity rate.....	51
Fig. 54: Clearance quality of AP mine.....	52
Fig. 55: Fuel consumption rate.....	53
Fig. 56: Clearance size – fuel ratio.....	54
Fig. 57: Fragment in test lane.....	55
Fig. 58: Fragment out of test lane.....	55
Fig. 59: Fragment position in and out of test lane.....	55
Fig. 60: distant of flying fragment.....	56
Fig. 61: Potential to break AP mine by the machine.....	57
Fig. 62: Acceptance test location in Cambodia.....	59
Fig. 63: Map of O dounpov test site.....	61
Fig. 64: Activities at O dounpov test site.....	62
Fig. 65: Map of Pich Chongwa test site.....	63
Fig. 66: Activities at Pich Chongwa test site.....	64
Fig. 67: There are many trees in this test site.....	65
Fig. 68: Landmine is easily found at Pich Chongwa test site.....	65
Fig. 69: Preparation for the acceptance test.....	66
Fig. 70: Clearance duration at acceptance test.....	73
Fig. 71: True productivity rate of the machine at acceptance test.....	74
Fig. 72: the comparison of true clearance productivity.....	75
Fig. 73: Fuel consumption rate.....	76
Fig. 74: Productivity – fuel ratio.....	77
Fig. 75: Repair activities of the machine.....	78
Fig. 76: Average repair time of the machine.....	78
Fig. 77: The relationship between productivity and repair.....	79
Fig. 78: Average clearance duration.....	80
Fig. 79: the comparison of productivity rate.....	81
Fig. 80: the comparison of fuel consumption.....	82
Fig. 81: A comparison of productivity – fuel ratio at performance & acceptance tests.....	83
Fig. 82: Measurement System Configuration.....	85
Fig. 83: a combination of Anti-tank and C4 to be exploded under the attachment.....	86
Fig. 84: Survivability test spot of the machine.....	86
Fig. 85: Equipment Installation in the Machine [1/4].....	87
Fig. 86: Equipment Installation in the Machine [2/4].....	88
Fig. 87: Equipment Installation in the Machine [3/4].....	88
Fig. 88: Equipment Installation in the Machine [4/4].....	88
Fig. 89: Sound Pressure in the Yamanashi swing type machine - Anti-personnel landmine [1/2].....	89
Fig. 90: Sound Pressure in the Yamanashi swing type machine - Anti-personnel landmine [2/2].....	89
Fig. 91: Sound Pressure in the Yamanashi swing type machine - Anti-tank mine [1/2].....	89
Fig. 92: Sound Pressure in the Yamanashi swing type machine - Anti-tank mine [2/2].....	89
Fig. 93: Cabin Pressure in the Yamanashi swing type machine - Anti-personnel Mine.....	90
Fig. 94: Cabin Pressure in the Yamanashi swing type machine - Anti-tank Mine.....	90
Fig. 95: Floor Acceleration in Yamanashi Hitachi swing type- Anti-personnel Mine [1/2].....	91
Fig. 96: Floor Acceleration in Yamanashi Hitachi swing type- Anti-personnel Mine [2/2].....	91
Fig. 97: Floor Acceleration in Yamanashi Hitachi swing type- Anti-tank Mine [1/2].....	91
Fig. 98: Floor Acceleration in Yamanashi Hitachi swing type- Anti-tank Mine [2/2].....	92
Fig. 99: Seat Acceleration in Yamanashi Hitachi swing type- Anti-Personnel Mine [1/2].....	92
Fig. 100: Seat Acceleration in Yamanashi Hitachi swing type- Anti-Personnel Mine [2/2].....	92
Fig. 101: Seat Acceleration in Yamanashi Hitachi swing type- Anti-tank Mine [1/2].....	93
Fig. 102: Seat Acceleration in Yamanashi Hitachi swing type- Anti-tank Mine [2/2].....	93
Fig. 103: Blast Pressure at in Yamanashi Hitachi swing type - Anti-personnel Mine [1/2].....	93
Fig. 104: Blast Pressure at in Yamanashi Hitachi swing type - Anti-personnel Mine [2/2].....	94
Fig. 105: Blast Pressure at in Yamanashi Hitachi swing type - Anti-tank Mine [1/2].....	94
Fig. 106: Blast Pressure at in Yamanashi Hitachi swing type - Anti-tank Mine [2/2].....	94

Fig. 107: B-duration of Sound Pressure in Yamanashi Hitachi swing type - Anti-tank Mine	96
Fig. 108: Floor Velocity-Time Chart for Yamanashi Hitachi Swing type - Anti-personnel Mine.....	97
Fig. 109: Floor Velocity-Time Chart for Yamanashi Hitachi Swing type - Anti-tank Mine.....	97
Fig. 110: Seat Velocity-Time Chart for Machine #1 (YAMANASHI S) - Anti-personnel Mine.....	97
Fig. 111: Simulated Human Body Displacement in Machine #1 (YAMANASHI S) - Anti-personnel Mine.....	98
Fig. 112: Seat Velocity-Time Chart for Machine #1 (YAMANASHI S) - Anti-tank Mine.....	98
Fig. 113: Machine #1 (Yamanashi S) Displacement of Human Body on the Operator Seat - Anti-Tank Mine	98
Fig. 114: Chains are broken from Anti-tank blast.....	101
Fig. 115: Holders are bending from Anti-tank blast.....	102
Fig. 116: Rubber plate to protect dust are blown away by Anti-tank blast.....	102
Fig. 117: operated by hydraulic, swing is easy to change its attachment.....	110
Fig. 118: Swing help carrying and moving fuel supply at Pich Chongwa minefield	110
Fig. 119: Counter balance is built in one piece	111
Fig. 120: Correct and Incorrect deployment of swing machine.....	111
Fig. 121: ROTARY CUTTER attachment to be mounted on demining machine swing type	114

LIST OF TABLES

TITLE	PAGE
Table 1: Project Management team	6
Table 2: Member for demining machine number 1	6
Table 3: Member for demining machine number 2	7
Table 4: Member for demining machine number 3	7
Table 5: Member for demining machine number 4	7
Table 6: Demining machine swing type (Model V33).....	8
Table 7: The classification of landmine damaged by the machine	16
Table 8: Deployment pattern of landmine PMN-2 and T-72A at dry condition lane 1, 2 and 3	26
Table 9: Deployment pattern of landmine PMN-2 and T-72A at dry condition lane 4.....	26
Table 10: summary of landmine at dry condition test lane 1, 2, 3 and lane 4.....	26
Table 11: Deployment pattern of landmine PMN-2 and T-72A at light bush condition lane 1, 2 and 3 ...	31
Table 12: Deployment pattern of landmine PMN-2 and T-72A at light bush condition lane 4	31
Table 13: summary of landmine at light bush condition test lane 1, 2, 3 and lane 4	31
Table 14: Deployment pattern of landmine PMN-2 and T-72A at Wet condition lane 1, 2	34
Table 15: summary of landmine at wet condition test lane 1 and test lane 2	34
Table 16: Test schedule of swing demining machine in dry condition	35
Table 17: Test schedule of swing demining machine in Light Bush condition	35
Table 18: Test schedule of swing demining machine in wet condition	35
Table 19: Summary Test result at Dry condition	37
Table 20: Distant of flying fragment from original location in Dry condition	37
Table 21: Potential mine to be broken up by the SWING TYPE MACHINE during performance test.....	37
Table 22: Fragment position in dry condition area after the performance test by SWING TYPE MACHINE	37
Table 23: mine fragment scattered at dry condition, test lane 1	38
Table 24: mine fragment scattered at dry condition, test lane 2	39
Table 25: mine fragment scattered at dry condition, test lane 3	40
Table 26: mine fragment scattered at dry condition, test lane 4	41
Table 27: Summary Test result at light bush condition	42
Table 28: Distant of flying fragment from original location in Light Bush condition.....	42
Table 29: Potential mine to be broken up by the SWING TYPE MACHINE during performance test.....	42
Table 30: Fragment position in Light Bush condition area after the performance test by SWING TYPE MACHINE	42
Table 31: mine fragment scattered at light bush condition, test lane 1	43
Table 32: mine fragment scattered at light bush condition, test lane 2.....	44
Table 33: mine fragment scattered at light bush condition, test lane 3.....	45
Table 34: mine fragment scattered at light bush condition, test lane 4.....	46
Table 35: Summary Test result at Wet condition	47
Table 36: Distant of flying fragment from original location in Wet condition	47
Table 37: Potential mine to be broken up by the SWING TYPE MACHINE during performance test.....	47
Table 38: Fragment position in Wet condition area after the performance test by SWING TYPE MACHINE	47
Table 39: mine fragment scattered at wet condition, test lane 1.....	48
Table 40: mine fragment scattered at wet condition, test lane 2.....	49
Table 41: Summary of performance test data	51
Table 42: Selected sites for acceptance test	60
Table 43: Test schedule of acceptance test.....	68
Table 44: Productivity and productivity rate of the machine	69
Table 45: Fuel consumption by the machine	70
Table 46: The repair of a demining machine.....	71
Table 47: the comparison of clearance and true clearance productivity.....	75
Table 48: fuel consumption used by demining swing machine at acceptance test	76
Table 49: Productivity of the machine and fuel consumption	77
Table 50: Repair activities	78
Table 51: True productivity rate at performance & acceptance tests.....	81
Table 52: A comparison of fuel consumption rate at performance & acceptance tests.....	82

Table 53: A comparison of productivity-fuel ratio at performance & acceptance tests	83
Table 54: Test Schedule	87
Table 55: Measurement Work Details	87
Table 56: General equipment for the project.....	100
Table 57: General tool from CMAC workshop in Battambang	100
Table 58: Damage from anti-tank explosion	101
Table 59: Repair duration.....	101
Table 60: Equipment used for repair	101
Table 61: Safety Evaluation on Machine # 1 (YAMANASHI S)	113