

TABLE OF CONTENT

TITLE	PAGE
FORWARD	i
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ii
TABLE OF CONTENT	vi
LIST OF FIGURE	viii
LIST OF TABLE	ix
LIST OF ABBREVIATION.....	x
1. BACKGROUND	1
1.1. THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN FRAGMENT AND PRODUCTIVITY	1
1.2. CONSTRAINT AND SOLUTIONS	2
1.3. THE ORIGIN OF THE PROJECT	3
1.4. SELECTION SCHEDULE	4
1.5. SELECTION RESULT	4
1.6. AIM OF THE PROJECT	4
1.7. SCOPE OF THE PROJECT.....	5
2. TEST LOCATION.....	5
3. TEST PARTICIPANTS	7
3.1. CAMBODIAN MINE ACTION CENTRE (CMAC).....	7
3.2. JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION SYSTEM (JICS).....	8
3.3. MANUFACTURER	8
3.4. FEATURES OF MINE DETECTORS – MITSUI.....	8
4. PREPARATION PRIO TO THE TEST.....	9
4.1. TRAINING	9
4.2. TEST LANE PREPARATION	9
4.2.1.GENERAL LAYOUT OF THE TEST AREA	9
4.2.2.TEST LANE	10
4.2.3.SOIL TYPE	13
4.2.4.SOIL CONDITION (WET & DRY).....	14
4.2.5.THE USE OF LANDMINE/UXO IN THE CALIBRATION BOX.....	14
4.2.6.THE USE OF LANDMINE/UXO IN THE TEST LANE	18
4.2.7.DEFINITION OF DEPTH.....	20
5. TEST PROCEDURE.....	21
5.1. TEST SCHEDULE	21
5.2. TEST ACTIVITY	22
5.2.1.TEST 1: IN-AIR TEST	23
5.2.2.TEST 2: SENSITIVITY TEST	23
5.2.3.TEST 3 & 4: TARGET LOCATION ACCURACY TEST	23
5.2.4.TEST 5: PROXIMITY TEST (RESOLUTION OF ADJACENT TARGETS).....	23
5.2.5.TEST 6: EFFECT OF RADIO FREQUENCY INTERFERENCE TEST.....	23
5.2.6.TEST 7: POWER/FUEL CONSUMPTION	24
5.2.7.TEST 8: DETECTION SPEED (PRODUCTIVITY).....	24
6. EVALUATION PROCEDURE.....	24
6.1. PASS OR FAIL DECISION BY DISTANCE.....	24
6.2. PASS OR FAIL DECISION BY DETECTED OBJECT	30

7. TEST RESULT	30
7.1. PASS AND FAIL TEST	31
7.1.1. TEST 3 & TEST 4: TARGET LOCATION ACCURACY TEST	31
7.1.1.1. PROBABILITY OF DETECTION	31
7.1.1.2. POSITIVE FALSE ALARM RATE	31
7.1.1.3. NEGATIVE FALSE ALARM RATE	31
7.1.1.4. DISTINCTION RATIO BETWEEN METAL AND MINE	31
7.1.1.5. CONSIDERATION OF NO. 3 AND NO. 4 TEST RESULTS	32
7.1.2. TEST 5: PROXIMITY TEST RESOLUTION OF ADJACENT TARGETS	32
7.1.2.1. PROBABILITY OF DETECTION FOR CLOSE-SET BURIED TWO TARGETS	32
7.1.2.2. CONSIDERATION OF NO. 5 TEST RESULTS	32
7.1.3. TEST 6: EFFECT OF RADIO FREQUENCY INTERFERENCE TEST	33
7.1.3.1. PROBABILITY OF EFFECT OF RADIO FREQUENCY INTEFERENCE TEST	33
7.1.3.2. CONSIDERATION OF NO. 6 TEST RESULTS	33
7.2. TEST FOR INFORMATION PURPOSE	33
7.2.1. TEST NUMBER 1: AIR-TEST	33
7.2.2. TEST NUMBER 2: CALIBRATION BOX	34
7.2.3. TEST NUMBER 7: FUEL/POWER CONSUMPTION	34
7.2.4. TEST NUMBER 8: DETECTION SPEED	34
8. CONCLUSION OF "PASS OR FAIL" TESTS	35
9. RECOMMENDATIONS	35
10. ADDITIONAL TEST BY DEVELOPERS	36
10.1. PROBABILITY OF DETECTION	36
10.2. POSITIVE FALSE ALARM RATE	36
10.3. NEGATIVE FALSE ALARM RATE	37
10.4. DISTINCTION RATIO BETWEEN METAL AND MINE	37
10.5. PROBABILITY OF DETECTION FOR CLOSE-SET BURIED TWO TARGETS	37
11. GENERAL COMMENTS FOR DEVELOPER / RESEARCHER	37
12. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED	38
ANNEX 1: MITSUI DURING TEST IN PICTURES	39
ANNEX 2: PROCEDURES TO BE APPLIED DURING TEST OF MINE DETECTOR	41
ANNEX 3: CMAC PROJECT MEMBERS IN PICTURES	47

LIST OF FIGURE

TITLE	PAGE
Figure 1: Major constraints/solution in Mine Clearance Operation	2
Figure 2: test location in Siem Reap province, Cambodia	5
Figure 3: Project team structure	7
Figure 4: MITSUI during test at CMAC regional Centre (Siem Reap Province)	8
Figure 5: CMAC deminer is being trained by manufacturer in temporary field office of the manufacturer	9
Figure 6: Test zone in detail	10
Figure 7: Test lane is dug up to 1.5m. In case of present of water, water pump is used to dry the test lane before refilled with designated soil type	12
Figure 8: soil is brought from original location by truck	12
Figure 9: Test land and calibration box are ready for testing	12
Figure 10: Location layout of buried targets in Calibration Box No.1 to No.6 for hand held type detector	16
Figure 11: Location layout of buried targets in Calibration Box No.1 to No.6 for vehicle-mounted type detector	17
Figure 12: Location layout of buried targets in Calibration Boxes No.7 (hand-held & vehicle mounted)	18
Figure 13: Definition of Depth for Level burial	20
Figure 14: Definition of Depth for vertical burial	20
Figure 15: Evaluation criteria for APM	25
Figure 16: Evaluation criteria for ATM & UXO	26
Figure 17: Flow chart of decisive method for Pass or Fail	29

LIST OF TABLE

TITLE	PAGE
Table 1: CMAC clearance result since 1993	1
Table 2: Selection Committee Schedule	4
Table 3: Successful candidates	4
Table 4: Summary soil type and calibration box property	13
Table 5: Summary soil type and test lane property	13
Table 6: Summary soil type and test lane property of lane number 7	14
Table 7: Summary soil type and test lane property of lane number 8	14
Table 8: Date of targets/mines buried for hand-held type	15
Table 9: Buried targets in Calibration Box No.1-No.6 (for hand-held type & vehicle mounted type).....	15
Table 10: Buried targets in Calibration Box No.7 (for hand-held type & vehicle mounted type detectors)	17
Table 11: Date of targets/mines buried for hand-held type.....	18
Table 12: Buried targets in Test Lane No.1-No.6 for hand-held type detectors	19
Table 13: Buried targets in Test Lane No.1-No.6 for vehicle mounted type detectors	19
Table 14: Buried targets in Test Lane No.7 (hand-held type & vehicle mounted type detectors)	19
Table 15: Buried targets in Test Lane No.8	19
Table 16: Total quantity of buried targets prepared by CMAC.....	20
Table 17: Test schedule of MITSUI.....	21
Table 18: List of Tests	22
Table 19: Pass or Fail criteria (Pattern A for APM)	27
Table 20: Pass or Fail criteria (Pattern B for APM).....	27
Table 21: Pass or Fail criteria (Pattern A for ATM and UXO).....	28
Table 22: Pass or Fail criteria (Pattern B for ATM and UXO)	28
Table 23: Evaluation criteria for APM	29
Table 24: Evaluation criteria for ATM & UXO	29
Table 25: Decisive method of Pass or Fail by detected object through GPR images.....	30
Table 26: Alarm function	33
Table 27: Radio frequency interference test result of MITSUI	33
Table 28: Maximum depth of detection (cm) – bottom of detector to the top of target.....	33
Table 29: Test result of Calibration box number 1 – 7 by Minelab A1F4	34
Table 30: Test result of Calibration box number 1 – 7 by MITSUI	34
Table 31: Detection speed by MITSUI.....	34
Table 32: Summary test result of MITSUI	35

ABBREVIATIONS

Ad	Additional valid detection
AP mine	Anti Personnel mine
AT mine	Anti Tank mine
CEN	The European Committee for Standardization
CMAC	Cambodian Mine Action Centre
CWA	CEN Workshop Agreement
EOD	Explosive Ordnance Disposal
FAR	False Alarm Rate
GICHD	Geneva International Centre for Humanitarian Demining
GPR	Ground Penetrating Rader
GPS	Global Positioning System
HF	High Frequency
IMAS	International Mine Action Standards
ITEP	International Test and Evaluation Programme
ITOP	International Test Operation Procedures
JST	Japan Science Technology Agency
MAC	Mine Action Centre
Pd	Probability of Detection
PMCS	Preventive Maintenance Checks and Services
SOP	Standard Operating Procedure
SOR	Standard Operating Requirement
TNT	Ttrinitrotoluene
UXO	Unexploded Ordnance
UNMAS	The United Nations Mine Action Service